

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

**ScienceDirect** 

journal homepage: www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/genes-diseases

# RAPID COMMUNICATION

# A novel metabolite-interacting protein (MIP)-based molecular subtyping construction and validation of IGFBP3 as a MIP-related oncogene in colorectal cancer



Firstly, we integrated transcriptional expression profiling and clinical data of colon and rectal cancers from TCGA, and adjusted batch effects (Fig. S1A). In total, 4293 MIPs were collected, and following the cutoffs of |fold change|  $\geq$ 2 and

Peer review under responsibility of Chongqing Medical University.





adjusted P < 0.01, 434 MIPs exhibited significant differential expression in CRC versus normal tissues, with 257 down-regulations and 177 up-regulations (Fig. S1B). Among them, 56 MIPs were significantly linked to CRC prognosis, which were adopted for consensus clustering analysis. In accordance with the cumulative distribution function curve, the optimal number of clusters was 2 across TCGA-CRC, namely C1 and C2 (Fig. S1C–F). The prognostic MIPs were differently expressed between the two MIP subtypes (Fig. S1G). Principal component analysis proved the accuracy in subtype assignment (Fig. S1H). Overall survival outcomes differed between subtypes, with poorer overall survival for C2 (Fig. S1I). Next, clinicopathological traits were compared, more advanced histological stage, N and M stage, and more dead cases were observed in C2 versus C1 (Fig. S1J).

We then screened MIP-relevant genes through weighted gene co-expression network analysis. This analysis incorporated the genes with variance within the top 5000 (Fig. S2A). The optimal power value was identified as 9 based on scale independence >0.9 and relatively high mean connectivity (Fig. S2B). Totally, eight co-expression modules were constructed (Fig. S2C). Magenta and green modules exhibited the strongest Pearson correlation with MIP subtypes (Fig. S2D), which were regarded as key modules. The genes were extracted from key modules, which were regarded as MIP-relevant genes. Additionally, we observed notable relationships of module membership in the magenta and green modules with gene significance for MIP subtypes (Fig. S2E, F). The 703 genes in the magenta and green modules were regarded as MIP-relevant genes. Notably, the genes in the magenta module were linked to CRC tumorigenic pathways (Fig. S2G, H), while those in the green module were mainly correlated to immunity (Fig. S2I, J).

Further definition and external verification of a MIPrelevant gene signature for CRC was carried out. Table S1 listed the 27 prognostic MIP-relevant genes in TCGA-CRC,

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2024.101272

<sup>2352-3042/© 2024</sup> The Authors. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



IGFBP3 plays an oncogenic role in colorectal cancer (CRC) by regulating mitophagy. (A) Quantitative reverse tran-Figure 1 scription PCR analysis of IGFBP3 in CRC and adjacent normal tissues. n = 16. \*P < 0.05 vs. adjacent normal tissues. (B) Immunoblot analysis of IGFBP3 in CRC and adjacent normal tissues. Representative images were shown. n = 6. T: CRC tissues; N: adjacent normal tissues. (C) Representative immunohistochemistry images of IGFBP3 in noncancerous mucosa, paired primary CRC and liver metastases. (D) Quantification analysis of (C). \*P < 0.05, \*\*\*P < 0.001. (E) Kaplan–Meier plots of CRC specimens with negative and positive IGFBP3 expression. (F) Multivariate Cox regression of IGFBP3 expression and clinical parameters in CRC. (G) Cell viability of IGFBP3-KD HCT116 cells was detected by CCK8 assay following 24-h culture. n = 3. \*P < 0.05 vs. Scramble cells. (H, I) Representative images and quantification analysis of wound healing assay in IGFBP3 deficient HCT116 cells. The images were taken at the indicated time points. n = 3. \*P < 0.05 vs Scramble cells with the same time point. Scale bar = 500  $\mu$ m. (J, K) Representative images and quantification analysis for a colony formation assay in IGFBP3-deficient HCT116 cells. n = 3. \*P < 0.05 vs. Scramble cells. (L, M) IGFBP3-KD cells were stained with Mito-Tracker Green to evaluate the mitochondrial morphology, and the mitochondrial length was analyzed quantitatively with image J. n = 3. \*P < 0.05 vs. Scramble cells. Blue: Hoechst staining; Green: Mito-Tracker staining. Scale bar = 25  $\mu$ m. (N, O) *IGFBP3*-KD HCT116 cells were stained with MitoSOX and analyzed by flow cytometry. MitoSOX-positive cells were quantitatively analyzed with FlowJo. n = 3. \*P < 0.05 vs. Scramble cells. (P, Q) /GFBP3-KD HCT116 cells were stained with DCFH-DA and analyzed by flow cytometry. DCFH-DA-positive cells were quantitatively analyzed with FlowJo. n = 3. \*P < 0.05 vs. Scramble cells. (R) Representative images and quantification analysis of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) in IGFBP3-deficient HCT116 cells. n = 3. \*P < 0.05 vs. Scramble cells. Red: MitoSOX staining. Scale bar = 25 µm. Fluorescence intensity was quantitatively analyzed with image J. (S) Representative images and quantification analysis of intracellular ROS in IGFBP3-KD cells. n = 3. \*P < 0.05 vs. Scramble cells. Green: DCFH-DA staining. Scale bar = 25  $\mu$ m. (T, U) Immunoblotting

which were input into the least absolute shrinkage and selection operator analysis (Fig. S3A, B). Then, a MIP-relevant gene signature was generated, following the formula: risk score = 0.0662321391578679 \* ALDH1A3 expression +0.0961678919845657 \* OLFM2 expression + 0.082484355 9063323 \* SLC2A3 expression + 0.130463406568411 \* GPC1 expression + 0.00922543892229505 \* IGFBP3 expression + 0.0804414140611728 \* GRP expression + -0.11187193292 3389 \* F2RL2 expression + 0.00585574851488039 \* MEGF6 expression + -0.343287749363947 \* WNT5A expression +0.203137325063818 \* TIMP1 expression (Fig. S3C). With the median RiskScore, we classified TCGA-CRC as low- and highrisk groups (Fig. S3D), and principal component analysis demonstrated the accuracy of grouping assignment (Fig. S3E). Among TCGA-CRC cases, high-risk scores correlated to poorer overall survival outcomes (Fig. S3F), with the area under the curves at 1-, 3- and 5-year overall survival >0.6 (Fig. S3G), indicating the predictive potential in CRC prognosis. The generalizability of this MIP-relevant risk score was proven in the GSE39582 cohort (Fig. S3H, I).

We then evaluated the MIP-relevant risk score in predicting the recurrence and progression of CRC. Our analysis showed that high-risk score was correlated to worse diseasefree survival (Fig. S4A, B), disease-specific survival (Fig. S4C, D), and progression-free survival (Fig. S4E, F) across TCGA-CRC, proving that the MIP-relevant risk score might enable to predict recurrence and progression of CRC. In Figure S4G, more advanced histological stage, TNM stage, and more dead cases were investigated in the high-risk group. We further explored the relationship of the MIP-relevant risk score with clinical response to immune checkpoint blockade. Further analyses found that the MIP-relevant risk score positively correlated to most steps within the cancer-immunity cycle (Fig. S5A). In addition, risk score exhibited positive associations with stromal activation, with negative associations with DNA damage repair (Fig. S5B). Next, we validated the predictive efficacy of risk score in immune checkpoint blockade response in the IMvigor210 cohort. Low-risk cases had relatively higher proportions of partial and complete responses to anti-PD-L1 therapy (Fig. S5C, D) and displayed better overall survival (Fig. S5E). Among three immune phenotypes that were classified by the spatial distribution of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells, desert tumors possessed lower risk scores than excluded or inflamed tumors (Fig. S5F). Compared with ICO (immune cells with the lowest PD-L1), a higher risk score was observed in IC2 (immune cells with the highest PD-L1) (Fig. S5G). Additionally, TC2+ tumors with the highest PD-L1 displayed higher risk scores than TC0 or TC1 tumors with the lowest or modest PD-L1 (Fig. S5H). Overall, the MIP-relevant inflamed risk score correlated to the tumor microenvironment.

To determine the expression level of MIP-related risk model genes, we tested the expression of 10 genes in our collected CRC tissues and found that IGFBP3 exhibited the most significant differential expression among these genes (Fig. 1A, B). The expression of IGFBP3 was significantly increased in matching liver metastatic samples compared with primary CRC and adjacent noncancerous tissue by immunohistochemistry in the cohort of patients (Fig. 1C, D). Additionally, subsequent analyses revealed that higher expression of IGFBP3 was associated with worse clinicopathologic characteristics in CRC patients (Table S2). Furthermore, Kaplan-Meier curve analysis demonstrated that high expression of IGFBP3 was associated with lower overall survival (Fig. 1E). Moreover, multivariate Cox regression analysis indicated that IGFBP3 was an independent prognostic factor (Fig. 1F). To further evaluate the oncogenic role of IGFBP3 in vitro, as shown in Figure 1G-K and Figure S7A-C, we found that the cellular viability, proliferation, and migration were significantly decreased in IGFBP3-KD cells. Further RNA sequencing analysis showed that expression levels of mitophagy-related genes were obviously reduced in IGFBP3-KD cells (Fig. S6). The mitochondria of IGFBP3-KD cells had shorter fragments than Scramble cells and the density of mitochondria decreased in IGFBP3-KD cells (Fig. 1L, M). Meanwhile, the analysis of flow cytometry and fluorescence intensity showed that IGFBP3 deficiency significantly increased the generation of reactive oxygen species in CRC cells (Fig. 1N-S; Fig. S7D-F). Additionally, the levels of LC3-I and P62 were increased in IGFBP3-KD CRC cells (Fig. 1T, U). More importantly, the levels of mitophagy proteins PINK1, PARKIN, and BNIP3L were decreased in IGFBP3-KD cells (Fig. 1T, U; Fig. S7G).

Altogether, we propose robust MIP-based molecular subtyping and relevant RiskScore for guiding therapy selection and prognosis prediction of CRC, thus facilitating comprehension and clinical application for CRC metabolism heterogeneity.

#### Ethics declaration

The research involving human participants was approved by the human ethics committees of the Liaoning Cancer Hospital (No. 20210804 GP). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in the study.

#### Author contributions

B.M. and S.B. conceived this research. B.M. and Y.L. performed the bioinformatic analysis and visualization. S.B. designed and performed the *in vitro* experiments with contributions from B.M., Y.L., J.D., and H.H. S.B. and B.M. analyzed data and wrote the manuscript. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

#### Conflict of interests

The authors declared no conflict of interests.

#### Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Cultivation Program of National Science Foundation of Liaoning Cancer Hospital (China) (No. 2021-ZLLH-03), Beijing Xisike Clinical

and quantification analysis of mitophagy-related proteins (PINK1, PARKIN, and BNIP3L) and autophagy-related proteins (LC3-I, LC3-II, and P62) in *IGFBP3*-KD HCT116 cells. n = 3. \*P < 0.05 vs. Scramble cells.

Oncology Research Foundation (China) (No. Y-QL202101-0039), Medical and Industrial Cross Joint Fund of Dalian University of Technology-Liaoning Cancer Hospital (China) (No. LD2023027), The National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82303131), and the Liaoning Province Science and Technology Plan Joint Program (Applied Basic Research Project) (China) (No. 2023JH2/101700150).

### Data availability

The datasets generated and analyzed in this study are all from public databases, and the main raw dataset comes from the TCGA database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) and GSE39582 dataset (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE39582).

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2024.101272.

# References

- 1. Andrei P, Battuello P, Grasso G, Rovera E, Tesio N, Bardelli A. Integrated approaches for precision oncology in colorectal cancer: the more you know, the better. *Semin Cancer Biol*. 2022;84:199–213.
- 2. Liu Z, Xu H, Weng S, Ren Y, Han X. Stemness refines the classification of colorectal cancer with stratified prognosis, multiomics landscape, potential mechanisms, and treatment options. *Front Immunol*. 2022;13:828330.
- Dong S, Liang S, Cheng Z, et al. ROS/PI3K/Akt and Wnt/β-catenin signalings activate HIF-1α-induced metabolic reprogramming to impart 5-fluorouracil resistance in colorectal cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2022;41(1):15.

- 4. Chen D, Zhang Y, Wang W, et al. Identification and characterization of robust hepatocellular carcinoma prognostic subtypes based on an integrative metabolite-protein interaction network. *Adv Sci.* 2021;8(17):e2100311.
- Piazza I, Kochanowski K, Cappelletti V, et al. A map of proteinmetabolite interactions reveals principles of chemical communication. *Cell*. 2018;172(1-2):358-372.e23.

Simeng Bao <sup>a,1</sup>, Yongmin Li <sup>b,1</sup>, Yu Liang <sup>b</sup>, Jianxun Dai <sup>c</sup>, Huolin Huang <sup>c</sup>, Bin Ma <sup>b,d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Central Laboratory, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University, Cancer Hospital of Dalian University of Technology, Liaoning Cancer Hospital & Institute, Shenyang, Liaoning 110042, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Colorectal Surgery, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University, Cancer Hospital of Dalian University of Technology, Liaoning Cancer Hospital & Institute, Shenyang, Liaoning 110042, China

<sup>c</sup> School of Optoelectronic Engineering and Instrumentation Science, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, Liaoning 116024. China

<sup>d</sup> The Liaoning Provincial Key Laboratory of Interdisciplinary Research on Gastrointestinal Tumor Combining Medicine with Engineering, Shenyang, Liaoning 110042, China

\*Corresponding author. Department of Colorectal Surgery, Cancer Hospital of China Medical University, Cancer Hospital of Dalian University of Technology, Liaoning Cancer Hospital & Institute, Shenyang, Liaoning 110042, China. *E-mail address:* mabin0326cmu@163.com (B. Ma) 24 November 2023 Available online 22 March 2024

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work.